Previous references.

File No. 1120
of 1903-04

190 .

Serial Nos. 1-4

(Salaries and allowances)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B. for

[illegible] Police Lance Daffedar of the [illegible].

---

1. P & A 895 3672 23-12-1903 From The Comptr.
P&A 6179-459

[illegible] verified an application for gratuity on behalf of [illegible].

2. P & A 25-1-1904 To The Comptr.
1480

[illegible] whether a pension may not be sanctioned for the combined services rendered by the Daffedar both in the Civil and Military Departments, and if so, requests him to submit for orders a fresh report on the gratuity application.

3. P&A 1977 4262 4-2-1904 From The Comptr.
P&A 560

States that there are no rules authorizing the combination of both the Military and the Civil Service together and allowing the grant of one pension or gratuity for the combined service, and submits however a fresh report on the gratuity application.

Board Rev: Secretariat
~~Office of the Dewan of Mysore.~~

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note.

Branch Paid A

Subject Pension to Syed Nasuroodeen late Police Lance Daffedar of the Hiriyur Taluk.

Letter No. 4266 / 05a 560 dy 4th Feby 1904 from the Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore

The Lance Daffedar has rendered a Service of — yrs 23 — 8 — 16 in the Military Deptt and a Service of 11 — 5 — 21 in the Civil Deptt. The Comptroller reported that the Daffedar was entitled to a gratuity of Rs 162 — Rs 96 for the military Service under art. 361 and Rs 66 for the Civil Service under art: 324(a)ii of the M. S. Regulations Thereupon he was requested to report whether for the — combined Service which exceed thirty years, the Daffedar may not be — granted a pension under art:

art: 258(a). In reply he states that there are no rules to regulate the grant of one pension or one gratuity to an officer who has rendered Service partly in the Military and partly in the Civil Depts, that art: 258(a) is only applicable in the case of persons who have rendered both Inferior and Superior Service in the Civil Dept and does not provide for the combination of the Military and the Civil Services and the grant under Civil rules of pension or gratuity for such combined Service, and that his report in the present case was based upon Govt Ord: No. 7090-1 d: 8th Dec 1898 Pta 543 under which both pension and gratuity were granted to one Fazul Khan according to Military and Civil rules respectively for Services rendered in these Depts. The Comptroller has also subd:

a

a fresh report certifying that for the combined service the applicant in question may be granted a pension of Rs 4 per mensem.

Subd Minutes of the Secty.

DRB
27/2/04

This is a peculiar case. We may perhaps ascertain from the Dy Commr. the circumstances under which the man was transferred from the Military to the Police, whether on his own account or in the interests of the Police Dept.

DB
29/2/04

In the present case it would perhaps be well to ascertain the wishes of the applicant as to whether he will elect to take a pension of Rs 4 for the combined services or two separate gratuities for the two services. Sanction may then be accorded to whichever he elects.

It seems also desirable to frame a rule for insertion in the Service Regulations authorizing the combination of Military & Civil services rendered in the Departments in the calculation of pensions.

Subd to the Dewan thro' the Dy Secretary

DB
29/2/04

Must be noticed by A.G.

As the Rules stand, the man
is only entitled to the privileges
recommended in the first
instance. No 'pressure' is
admissible. Such cases
are few & can be taken
and no need for a new Rule
seems to have arisen. I have the
H.C. make a representation [illegible]
his case may perhaps be. 2/3/04
then dealt with as a special
case. But only should the appeal
itself now after him on a plea that
he is the Rules do not entitle him?
The Gratuity proposed may be
& enclosed G.

2/3/04

16269
3.3.04.

5.3.04

S&A 1208f/1903-04
serial 1
24/12/03
P&A 875
1903.

From

THE COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT.,

GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 2 2 /
Despatched 23 Dec 03
Received
General No. 3572
P. & A. No. 6179 459
Enclosure No. 1

SUBJECT.

Forwarding an application for ~~Pension~~ (Gratuity) reported on for the orders of Government on behalf of [illegible]

[illegible]

P & A
24.12.03

Approved & put up.
MK
26/12

MGCPB—20-2 03—500.

Name and designation.	Amount.	Class of ~~Pension or~~ Gratuity.
Supdt. Nanjundaiah, Kanara Buffedars, Hanagur Taluk Police	162	Invalid gratuity

Pension Department

OFFICE OF

THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE, 22nd December 1903.

No. 3572
23

P. & A. 6179-1579

From

THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

GENERAL & REVENUE DEPTS.

SIR,

I have the honor, with reference to Article 471 of the Mysore Service Regulations, to submit for the orders of Government, duly verified and reported on, the marginally noted application for ~~Pension~~ / Gratuity received with docket No. 3065 / 243 dated 25th November 1903 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. Eagles

Offg Comptroller.

I do

To The Comptroller to the
Govt of Mysore.

No Pd A 1480

Dy 23 January 1904.

His letter No 3572
Pd A. 6179-459
A. 22nd Dec 03 subg duly verified an application for gratuity on behalf of Syed Nasurodeen late Police Lance Dafedar of the Hiriyur Taluk and reporting that he is entitled to a gratuity of Rs 162 for the Service rendered by him in the Military dept for 23 years, 8 months and 16 days and in the civil dept for 11 years, 5 mths and 21 days.

With refce to the above has the honor to enquire whether a pension under art: 25-8 (a) of the Mysore Service Regulations may not

Not be named for the
combined service rendered
by the Daffedar both in
the Civil and Military Depts
and if it may be done so
and, to request that he will
be good enough to submit
a fresh report on the
pensioner's application which
is herewith returned.

B. O.

20/1/14

15/1/04

S&A 1120 of 1903-04 Serial 3 Pol La 1077 5

Pension ..... DEPARTMENT.

1904

From Henry Eagle, Esq
~~THE~~ COMPTROLLER,
MYSORE GOVT.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq. B.A. LL
~~THE~~ SECY. TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,
General & Revenue Dept.s

Dated
Despatched 4 February
Received

No. 1262 S.C.
Pol No. 560

SUBJECT MATTER.

Referring to his Dkt. No. 1480 & 23rd Instt. forwards a revised report on the application of Syed Nasrudeen late Police Lance Duffedar of the Nerigund S.K. both in the Civil & Mily Depts. under the Civil rules for an Inferior Pension.

MGCPB—28-S-02—600

4266 { 2 ..... Pension ..... DEPARTMENT. PA - 1077

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE, 7 February 1904.

From

Henry Eagles
~~E. R. SUBRAYER,~~ ESQ.,

COMPTROLLER. GOVT. OF MYSORE,

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq, M. A. M. L.,
~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue DEPTS.

SIR,

With reference to your docket No: 1480 of the 23rd instant enquiring whether a pension may not be sanctioned for the combined service of over 30 years rendered by Syed Nasuroddeen late Police Havee Duffedar of the Shimoga Taluk both in the Military and civil departments instead of the gratuity of Rs. 162/- reported on, I have the honor to state that my report was based on the precedent in the case

case of Fazal Khan to whom both gratuity and pension were sanctioned in G. O. No 7090-1 P.A. 543 of 8th December/98 according to Military and Civil Rules respectively. There are no rules to regulate the grant of one pension or one gratuity to an officer who has rendered service partly in the military and partly in the Civil departments.

Article 258(a) is only a concession allowed in the case of persons who have rendered both inferior and superior service in the Civil department; and does not provide for the combination of Military and Civil services, and the grant under Civil Rules pension or gratuity for such combined service.

I submit however, as required a revised report on the application under the Civil Rules for an inferior pension for the total service of the retired Duffadar in question.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
M Eagles
off'g Comptroller.

Fm 104.]

(97-99

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of applicant— Syed Nasuroolla

2. Father's name— Abdul Ahmad

3. Race, sect and caste— Musalman

4. Residence— Bangalore

5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment— Police Lance Duffadar.

6. Date of beginning of service— 15. 2. 1864.

7. Date of ending of service— 30-4-1899

		Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	... ...	35	~~4~~ 5	~~16~~ 16
of which Superior	... ...	1	11	21
Inferior	... ...	33	2	16
Non-qualifying and interruptions	... ...	~~4~~	~~7~~	~~7~~

9. Class of Pension or Gratuity applied for, and cause of application— Inferior invalid pension on ~~medical~~ certificate under article 258 of the Mysore Service Regulations

10. (Average) emoluments or pay— ~~8-13-7~~ 8-13-7 for a month.

11. Proposed Pension— ~~Rs 4~~ Rs 3-6-0 per mensem

12. Proposed Gratuity— ~~Rs 44~~ Rs 54 or upon Duffadar

13. Date from which pension is to commence— 1-2-1900

14. Place of payment— Bangalore

15. Date of applicant's birth by Christian era†— 1844

16. Height— 5 ft 6 in 5 ft 7 in

17. Marks— (1) Old wound mark on the right thigh about 2 inches long and half an inch broad. (2) mark of small pox on the left arm near the elbow about ½ inch long (3) (4) scars on 3 fingers, one on the right and the other three on the left hand

18. Date— 5th October 1899



As the applicant was found unfit for further service on account of old age he was retired from service under the orders of the Hon'ble Dewan Commissioner

Signature of Head of Office.
For Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.

History of the Service (showing interruption) of Syed Kader Saib, 92.

Establishment	Appointment	Pay	Acting allowance	Date of beginning	Date of ending	Period reckoned as service Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service Y.	M.	D.	Remarks	How verified	Remarks by Comptroller
Second Battalion Mysore Infantry (Company No 8.	Sepoy 2d Class	7	.	15.2.1864	6.4.1868	4	1	22	.	.	.			
—— Do ——	Sepoy 1st Class	8	.	7.4.1868	31.10.1887	19	6	24	.	.	.			
Chitaldroog Taluk	Lance Duffadar	9	.	1.11.1887	31.7.89	1	9		.	.	.	Transferred to the Police vide Govt Order No 23404 18/10/87.		
Chellakere Taluk	—— Do ——	9	.	1.8.89	31.8.90	1	1	.	.	.	.			
[illegible] Taluk	—— Do ——	9	Officiating	1.9.90	30.4.97	6	8	.	.	.	.			
—— Do —— Scale revised	—— Do ——	12	.	1.5.97	30.4.99	1	11	21	.	.	9			
Hiriyur Taluk	—— Do ——	.	.	1.5.99	31.1.900	.	.	2	.	.	9	was under [illegible]		

Relieved of the charge from Revenue with effect from 1st May 1899 vide Govt Ro: No. 3601-3 & 10 Sept 02. 1.312

Total period of Superior (or Inferior) Service .. 35 2 7 — 9 9

Received from Revenue Department 13 March 1899 171

Transferred to the Police Department vide Govt Order No 23404 dated 18/10/87.

Reverted to the Revenue Department vide Govt Order No 3601-3 dated 10 Sept 02.

Remarks by Comptroller

[illegible] 64 [illegible] as entered in columns [illegible] Certificate [illegible] Commandant [illegible] to the Revenue from 1st May 87 to 30 April 99, in the Police [illegible] admitted in the drawn allowance [illegible] Remarks with reference to the Schedule of Rates received in this office 24.

Remarks by Head of Office

1. As to character and past conduct of applicant	Good
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation	Was under suspension for nine months, in connection with a case. This period has been reckoned as not qualifying for service.
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by applicant (*see* Chapter XIX.)	Nil
4. Explanation under Article 279 so far as the Head of the Office can give it.	Nil
5. Any other remarks	Nil
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*see* Article 472 (*b*).]	The Services claimed is established and should be admitted.

~~The allowances have been ... A.~~ The Pension ~~(or Gratuity)~~ is chargeable to General Revenues.

for Revenue Secretary

Signature.

~~Your letter dated ... to the Superintendent~~

J. 312

Attention is invited to Article 317 (a) of the Regulations.

(see Covering Letter No 560 dt 2/2/04)

H Eagles

Bangalore
2nd Feby 1904

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

Date of sanction .. .. ..

Name of applicant .. .. ..

Last appointment .. ..

Class of Pension or Gratuity .. .. ..

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior ~~&~~ superior grades has been duly proved for 35 years, 2 months, 7 days;

and that a ~~Pension (or~~ Invalid Gratuity) not exceeding Rs 162/ one hundred sixty two only. is admissible under Articles 362(2)(a) 258(6)(b) 324(c)(ii) of the Mysore Service Regulations, First Edn.

The calculations have been duly verified. The ~~Pension (or~~ Gratuity) is chargeable to General Revenues.

{Govt Proc. No. Fin 361-38 10-9-38

The applicant was retired by the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug Dt on 30-April 99. when he was 56 years of age without being declared unfit for further service by a Medical Officer, on the ground that he was found inefficient for further service on account of old age in accordance with Article 291 of the Regs. Contained in Article 362(2)(a) of the Regs.

Dangerous
21-4-99

Sh Cagle
Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

Clg 3/99.

ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤ ਮੁਖ਼ਤਾਰ ਨਾਮਾ ਕਰਨਹਾਰ

ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤ ਮੁਖ਼ਤਾਰ ਨਾਮਾ ਕਬੂਲ

ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤ ਗਵਾਹ ਨੰਬਰ ੧

ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤ ਗਵਾਹ ਨੰਬਰ ੨

ਮਿਤੀ ੬/੧/੧੯੫੦ ਈਸਵੀ ਨੂੰ ਇਹ ਮੁਖ਼ਤਾਰ ਨਾਮਾ ਮੇਰੇ ਸਾਹਮਣੇ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਅਤੇ ਮੈਂ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਇੱਕ ਨਕਲ ਆਪਣੇ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਰਜ ਕਰ ਲਈ ਹੈ।

ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤ ਸਬ-ਰਜਿਸਟਰਾਰ

ਇਹ ਮੁਖ਼ਤਾਰ ਨਾਮਾ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਨੰਬਰ ੨੩੭ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੪/੮/੧੯੫੫ ਈਸਵੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਮਾਨ ਸਬ-ਜੱਜ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਦਰਜਾ ਅੱਵਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੇਸ਼ ਹੋਇਆ ਅਤੇ ਮਨਜ਼ੂਰ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ।

ਦਸਤਖ਼ਤ ਅਹਿਲਮਦ ਅਦਾਲਤ

Pro: No: 3601-3/J 312 dy 10. 9. 02

Sanctioning the retirement of Lance Daffadar Syed Nasiruddeen of the Hiriyur Taluk from Service with effect from the 1st May 1902.

Read:—

Letter No. 3572
Pta 6179-459
d: 22nd Dec 03 from the Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore submitting duly verified an application for gratuity on behalf of Sayed Nasurodeen late Police Lance Daffedar of the Nirigur Taluk and reporting that he is entitled to a gratuity of Rs 162 for the Service rendered by him in the Military Department for 23 years, 8 months and 16 days and in the Civil Dept for 11 years, 5 months and 21 days.

2. This office docket No Pta 1480 of 23rd Jan 04 to the Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore enquiring whether a pension may not be sanctioned for the combined Service rendered by the Daffedar both in the Civil and Military Depts and if so, requesting to submit for the orders of Govt a fresh report on the gratuity application

3. Letter No. 4262
Pta 560
d: 4th Feby 1904 from the

Comptroller to the Govt of
Mysore stating that
there are no rules
governing the combination
of both the Military
& the civil Service together
allowing the grant
of pension or gratuity
for the combined service
& submitting honour
Govt reporting on the
merits application, certifying
that a pension of Rs 40 p.m.
be granted to the deceased
Subject
to order thereon by Govt & Rs 150 p.m.
Under the circumstances
mentioned, the Govt of
India are
pleased to sanction under
provisions of Art: 361
read with Art 01, read 324(a) ii
the C.S. Regulations
the payment of one
month's gratuity of Rupees
hundred and sixty
to Syed Nizamuddin
late Police Peon deceased,
for giving Table of Gratuity
admissible to the
Deceased.

8/3/01.
For offg Secy.

To The Comptroller with copy
of the 1st page of the
gratuity application
The I.G of Police.